

| | | | |
|---|-----------------|---------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/035,486 | SEXTON ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | C. SAYALA | 1761 | |

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) C. SAYALA.

(3) _____.

(2) Ms Sellers.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 30 March 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

pending

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner was authorized to remove the negative limitation in the claims as amended, and insert the limitation that the drift reducing agent was a liquid, to distinguish it from prior art powders, based on the original specification and applicant's remarks filed 3/22/04.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)